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## Data Science vs. Other Labels




## Google Trends



## What do Predictive Modelers do? The CRISP-DM Process Model

- CRoss-Industry Standard Process Model for Data Mining
- Describes Components of Complete Data Mining Cycle from the Project Manager's Perspective
- Shows Iterative Nature of Data Mining



> How The Citizen Data Scientist Will Democratize Big Data Published on April 6, 2016


## How The Citizen Data Scientist Will Democratize Big Data Published on April 6, 2016

Retailer Sears, for example, recently empowered 400 staff from its business intelligence (BI) operations to carry out advanced, Big Data driven customer segmentation - work which would previously have been carried out by specialist Big Data analysts, probably with PhDs.

## Is it a Recipe?

What's wrong with my cake? 10 common baking problems fixed!
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10 common baking problems fixed!

1. My cake didn't rise
2. My cake is greasy
3. My cake is stuck in the tin
4. My cake is burnt
5. My cake is raw
6. My cake mix has split
7. My cake is too dry
8. My cake has sunk in the middle
9. My cake has risen unevenly
10. My cake has shrunk


## Is it a Recipe?

# Can we apply a recipe to machine learning and data science modeling processes? 

An End to End<br>Applied Machine Learning Recipe in<br>R: Binary<br>Classification using<br>Bagging, Boosting \&<br>Neural Networks

## Good Set of Data Prep Steps!

Seven Techniques for Dimensionality Reduction
Missing Values, Low Variance Filter, High Correlation Filter, PCA, Random Forests, Backward Feature Elimination, and Forward Feature Construction

1. High number of missing values
2. Low variance
3. High correlation with other data columns
4. Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
5. First cuts in random forest trees
6. Backward feature elimination
7. Forward feature construction
https://www.knime.org/files/knime_seventechniquesdatadimreduction.pdf

## Data Preparation Dependencies

Neural Newtorks
Linear Regression*
Logistic Regression
K Nearest Neighbor*
PCA*
Nearest Mean*
Kohonen Self-Organizing Maps*
Support Vector Machines
Radial Basis Function Networks
Discriminant Analysis

Decision Trees
Naïve Bayes
Rule Induction
Association Rules

- Fill missing values
- Explode categorical variables
- *Outliers and scale very influential
- Sometimes automatic in software; beware of how!
- Categoricals are fine
- Numeric data must be binned (except some decision trees)
- Outliers don't matter
- Missing values a category


## Why Are Outliers a Problem? Squares...

## Linear Regression: Mean Squared Error

## K-Means Clustering

$$
\operatorname{MSE}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\hat{Y}_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
d(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(q_{i}-p_{i}\right)^{2}}
$$

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mean_s quared_error
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eucli dean_distance

# A Effect of Outliers on Correlations analytics <br> (and Regression) 




- 4,843 records

| correlations | LASTGIFT | TARGET_D | LASTGIFT_log10 | TARGET_D_log10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LASTGIFT | 1 | 0.645 | 0.747 | 0.552 |
| TARGET_D | 0.645 | 1 | 0.641 | 0.847 |
| LASTGIFT_log10 | 0.747 | 0.641 | 1 | 0.750 |
| TARGET_D_log10 | 0.552 | 0.847 | 0.750 | 1 |

## Effect of Outliers on Correlations (and Regression)

- 4,843 records

| correlations | LASTGIFT |  | TARGET_D |  | LASTGIFT_log10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LASTGIFT | 1 | 0.645 | 0.747 | 0.552 |  |
| TARGET_D | 0.645 | 1 | 0.641 | 0.847 |  |
| LASTGIFT_log10 | 0.747 | 0.641 | 1 | 0.750 |  |
| TARGET_D_log10 | 0.552 | 0.847 | 0.750 | 1 |  |
| remove one outlier | LASTGIFT | TARGET_D | LASTGIFT_log10 | TARGET_D_log10 |  |
| LASTGIFT | 1 | 0.725 | 0.799 | 0.617 |  |
| TARGET_D | 0.725 | 1 | 0.643 | 0.847 |  |
| LASTGIFT_log10 | 0.799 | 0.643 | 1 | 0.752 |  |
| TARGET_D_log10 | 0.617 | 0.847 | 0.752 | 1 |  |

Corresponds to $\mathrm{R}^{\wedge} 2$ increase from 0.42 to 0.53


## Effect of Distance on Clusters



## Effect of Distance on Clusters



## Effect of Distance on Clusters




## Effect of Distance on Clusters





## Log transform the heavily skewed fields

| Column | Min | Mean | Median | Max | Std. Dev. | Skewness | Kurtosis | No. Missing | No. $+\infty$ | No. $-\infty$ | Histogram |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMPROM_log10 | 0.699 | 1.6225 | ? | 2.2923 | 0.2389 | -0.5638 | -0.5334 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NGIFTALL_log10 | 0.301 | 0.8956 | ? | 2.3766 | 0.3447 | -0.0742 | -0.7723 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0$ |
| LASTGIFT_log10 | 0.0 | 1.199 | $?$ | 3.0004 | 0.2354 | -0.4802 | 3.5736 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | T 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  | (c) | thbatt Analytics 2001-2018 |



## Try K-Means with Different Normalization Approaches



| Measurements | Variable | Type | Natural | Scalled [0,1] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scaled }[0,1] ; \\ \text { dummies }[0.3,0.7] \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| are F Statistic | FISTDATE | continuous | 415,191.15 | 873.90 | 862.42 |
|  | LASTGIFT_log10 | continuous | 502.33 | 17,134.27 | 8,936.27 |
|  | NGIFTALL_log10 | continuous | 38,724.24 | 3,148.09 | 3,718.02 |
|  | NUMPROM_log10 | continuous | 77,773.14 | 845.03 | 1,331.08 |
|  | D_RFA_2A | dummy | 355.94 | Infinity | 6,341.91 |
|  | DOMAIN1 | dummy | 51.50 | 239,491.96 | 20,391.53 |
|  | DOMAIN2 | dummy | 16.15 | 54,942.39 | 13,003.09 |
|  | DOMAIN3 | dummy | 12.47 | 155,098.25 | 4,580.00 |
|  | DOMAIN4 | dummy | 6.56 | 270.42 | 148.01 |
|  | F_RFA_2A | dummy | 801.02 | 33,172.69 | 78,485.65 |
|  | G_RFA_2A | dummy | 81.61 | 93,041.59 | 18,953.72 |
|  | RFA_2F | ordinal | 453.53 | 6,909.78 | 62,559.28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Avg Continuous | 133,047.71 | 5,500.32 | 3,711.95 |
|  |  | Avg Dummy | 189.32 | 96,002.88 | 20,271.99 |
|  |  | Avg Ordinal | 453.53 | 6,909.78 | 62,559.28 |
| 26 |  |  |  | (C) Allatt Aualutice 2001-2018 |  |

## PCA: Natural Units

| Natural Units | 1. eigenvector | 2. eigenvector | 3. eigenvector | 4. eigenvector | 5. eigenvector | 6. eigenvector | 7. eigenvector | 8. eigenvector | 9. eigenvector | 10. eigenvector | 11. eigenvector |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eigenvalue | 1.254 | 0.380 | 0.308 | 0.211 | 0.153 | 0.082 | 0.046 | 0.027 | 0.016 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| RFA_2F | -0.952 | -0.031 | -0.124 | 0.062 | 0.206 | 0.175 | 0.022 | -0.001 | -0.015 | -0.010 | -0.028 |
| D_RFA_2A | -0.123 | 0.003 | 0.009 | -0.032 | -0.100 | -0.446 | -0.861 | 0.005 | -0.181 | -0.011 | -0.020 |
| F_RFA_2A | 0.194 | 0.088 | -0.750 | 0.089 | 0.093 | 0.467 | -0.336 | -0.002 | 0.209 | 0.018 | 0.043 |
| G_RFA_2A | 0.059 | -0.097 | 0.605 | 0.016 | 0.326 | 0.439 | -0.361 | -0.001 | 0.432 | 0.028 | 0.062 |
| DOMAIN3 | -0.009 | -0.138 | -0.079 | -0.781 | 0.101 | 0.028 | -0.001 | -0.381 | -0.003 | 0.429 | -0.159 |
| DOMAIN2 | -0.022 | 0.768 | 0.117 | 0.282 | 0.005 | -0.006 | -0.004 | -0.341 | -0.005 | 0.421 | -0.153 |
| DOMAIN1 | 0.032 | -0.610 | -0.036 | 0.534 | -0.095 | -0.039 | 0.001 | -0.354 | -0.005 | 0.425 | -0.151 |
| DOMAIN4 | 0.001 | -0.008 | -0.005 | -0.015 | 0.008 | -0.001 | 0.003 | 0.783 | -0.003 | 0.583 | -0.216 |
| NUMPROM_log10 | -0.049 | 0.005 | 0.094 | -0.056 | -0.510 | 0.299 | -0.070 | -0.003 | 0.024 | -0.265 | -0.748 |
| NGIFTALL_log10 | -0.144 | 0.014 | 0.101 | -0.090 | -0.721 | 0.279 | -0.053 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.216 | 0.560 |
| LASTGIFT_log10 | 0.117 | -0.027 | 0.126 | 0.031 | 0.185 | 0.436 | -0.085 | 0.001 | -0.858 | 0.007 | 0.032 |

## PCA: Scaled Units

| Scaled Units [0,1] | 1. eigenvector | 2. eigenvector | 3. eigenvector | 4. eigenvector | 5. eigenvector | 6. eigenvector | 7. eigenvector | 8. eigenvector | 9. eigenvector | 10. eigenvector | 11. eigenvector |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eigenvalue | 0.381 | 0.333 | 0.218 | 0.186 | 0.054 | 0.046 | 0.036 | 0.027 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| RFA_2F | -0.057 | 0.256 | -0.283 | -0.569 | 0.622 | -0.369 | 0.001 | -0.003 | 0.000 | 0.045 | -0.047 |
| D_RFA_2A | -0.035 | 0.147 | -0.175 | -0.316 | 0.096 | 0.898 | 0.164 | 0.006 | 0.003 | 0.062 | -0.004 |
| F_RFA_2A | 0.019 | -0.841 | 0.036 | 0.033 | 0.436 | 0.059 | 0.302 | -0.004 | -0.002 | -0.062 | 0.018 |
| G_RFA_2A | 0.062 | 0.437 | 0.306 | 0.557 | 0.536 | 0.084 | 0.296 | -0.003 | -0.003 | -0.141 | 0.018 |
| DOMAIN3 | 0.136 | -0.004 | -0.706 | 0.360 | 0.020 | -0.001 | -0.012 | -0.380 | -0.457 | -0.001 | -0.005 |
| DOMAIN2 | -0.770 | -0.008 | 0.291 | -0.069 | 0.006 | 0.010 | -0.016 | -0.341 | -0.448 | -0.001 | -0.003 |
| DOMAIN1 | 0.615 | 0.010 | 0.446 | -0.304 | -0.018 | 0.010 | -0.013 | -0.354 | -0.451 | -0.003 | -0.001 |
| DOMAIN4 | 0.009 | -0.002 | -0.014 | 0.008 | 0.006 | -0.001 | -0.010 | 0.783 | -0.621 | -0.003 | -0.006 |
| NUMPROM_log10 | -0.012 | 0.066 | -0.035 | -0.061 | -0.246 | -0.142 | 0.645 | -0.006 | -0.015 | 0.128 | -0.691 |
| NGIFTALL_log10 | -0.023 | 0.096 | -0.084 | -0.146 | -0.246 | -0.158 | 0.613 | -0.004 | -0.023 | -0.128 | 0.695 |
| LASTGIFT_log10 | 0.015 | -0.008 | 0.065 | 0.118 | 0.071 | -0.026 | 0.048 | 0.000 | -0.006 | 0.968 | 0.189 |

## PCA: Scaled and Dummy Scaling

| Scaled Units [0,1]; <br> Dummies [0.3,0.7] | 1. eigenvector | 2. eigenvector | 3. eigenvector | 4. eigenvector | 5. eigenvector | 6. eigenvector | 7. eigenvector | 8. eigenvector | 9. eigenvector | 10. eigenvector | 11. <br> eigenvector |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eigenvalue | 0.148 | 0.061 | 0.050 | 0.039 | 0.033 | 0.013 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| RFA_2F | -0.907 | -0.044 | -0.256 | 0.204 | -0.006 | 0.253 | 0.025 | 0.001 | 0.023 | -0.048 | 0.000 |
| D_RFA_2A | -0.147 | 0.000 | -0.006 | -0.010 | 0.018 | -0.460 | -0.861 | -0.005 | 0.154 | -0.027 | -0.004 |
| F_RFA_2A | 0.251 | 0.092 | -0.676 | -0.256 | -0.117 | 0.497 | -0.336 | 0.002 | -0.168 | 0.070 | 0.001 |
| G_RFA_2A | 0.060 | -0.095 | 0.534 | 0.364 | 0.083 | 0.536 | -0.370 | 0.001 | -0.359 | 0.094 | 0.004 |
| DOMAIN3 | -0.011 | -0.139 | -0.060 | -0.177 | 0.768 | 0.036 | -0.002 | 0.381 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.457 |
| DOMAIN2 | -0.032 | 0.767 | 0.090 | 0.131 | -0.260 | -0.003 | -0.004 | 0.341 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.448 |
| DOMAIN1 | 0.045 | -0.609 | -0.031 | 0.066 | -0.538 | -0.046 | 0.001 | 0.354 | 0.004 | 0.012 | 0.451 |
| DOMAIN4 | 0.001 | -0.008 | -0.005 | 0.000 | 0.016 | 0.001 | 0.002 | -0.783 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.622 |
| NUMPROM_log10 | -0.124 | 0.010 | 0.310 | -0.584 | -0.129 | 0.209 | -0.065 | 0.004 | 0.013 | -0.695 | 0.016 |
| NGIFTALL_log10 | -0.238 | 0.016 | 0.273 | -0.599 | -0.119 | 0.041 | -0.005 | -0.003 | -0.024 | 0.702 | -0.002 |
| LASTGIFT_log10 | 0.114 | -0.020 | 0.097 | 0.086 | 0.008 | 0.375 | -0.063 | -0.001 | 0.904 | 0.084 | -0.007 |



## Missing Value Imputation

- Delete the record (row), or delete the field (column)
- Replace with a constant
- Replace missing value with mean, median, or distribution
- Replace missing with random self-substitution
- Surrogate Splits (CART)
- Make missing a category
- Simple for "rule-based" algorithms; Turn continuous into categorical for numeric algorithms
- Replace with the missing value with an estimate
- Select value from another field having high correlation with variable containing missing values
- Build a model with variable containing missing values as output, and other variables without missing values as an input


# CHAID Trees: Missing Values are Just Another Category 



## Summary

| Data Preparation Step | Linear Regression | K－NN | K－Means Clustering | PCA | Neural Networks | Decision Trees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fill Missing Values | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | あ |
| Correlation Filtering | Y | Y | Y |  |  |  |
| De－Skew（log，box－cox） | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |  |
| Mitigate Outliers | Y | Y | Y | Y | あ | あ |
| Remove Magnitude Bias （Scale） | Y | Y | Y | Y | ぁ |  |
| Remove Categorical ＂Dummy＂Bias | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |  |
| Mitigate Categorical Cardinality Bias | घ़̣ | घ़̣ | घ̣ | घ̣ | घ़̣ | Y |

## Stratify or Not to Stratify... That is the Question!?



## Comparing Logistic Regression with and without Equal Size Sampling


https://www.predictiveanalyticsworld.com/sanfrancisco/2013/pdf/Day2_1050_Abbott.pdf

## Don't Need to Stratify With Many Algorithms




## Input Variable Interactions

- Algorithms are mixed on interactions in theory
- Linear Regression, Logistic Regression, kNN, kMeans clustering, PCA.... are main effect models
- Decision trees are greedy searchers
- Built to find interactions
- But, only if they can be found in sequence (one at a time, stepwise)
- Neural Networks find interactions well (XOR)
- Naïve Bayes find intersections, not interactions
- Algorithms don't always identify interactions well or well-enough in practice


## Simple Interaction Function

- Two uniform variables: $x$ and $y$
- 2,564 records

- if ( $x^{*} y>0$ ) return ("1");
- else return("0");


## Four Classifiers



Decision Tree, min Leaf node 50 records


Logistic Regression


Naïve Bayes


Rprop Neural Net, 300 epochs

## Errors



True correct False incorrect False correct True incorrect

Decision Tree, min Leaf node 50 records


Logistic Regression


Naïve Bayes


Rprop Neural Net, 300 epochs

## Don't Build Interactions Manually*

- Too many...too many

Table 4-16: Number of Two-Way Interaction Combinations

| NUMBER OF VARIABLES NUMBER OF POSSIBLE TWO-WAY INTERACTIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 10 |
| 10 | 45 |
| 100 | 1,225 |
| 500 | 4,950 |
| 1000 | 124,750 |
| 499,500 |  |

- so wnat ao you ao!
* Except for those you know about

$$
42
$$

## Automatic Interaction Detection

- Trees: build 2-level trees
- Pros: works with continuous and categoricals
- Cons: greedy, only finds one solution at a time (Battery)

- Use the linear/logistic regression algorithm itself, loop over all 2-way interactions
- Pros: context is the model you may want to use, easy to do in R, Matlab, Python, SAS (coding)

- Cons: slow, have to code, what to do with dummies



## Is it a Recipe?....YES!

## Can we apply a recipe to machine learning and data science modeling processes?

An End to End<br>Applied Machine Learning Recipe in<br>R: Binary<br>Classification using<br>Bagging, Boosting \&<br>Neural Networks

## Conclusions

- Know what the algorithms can do (and not do!) before deciding on data preparation
- When are data shapes and data ranges important?
- It's not hard....just requires some thought
- Once you know what to do, you have your recipe!

